

## Conservation Plan

Westridge Dairy  
2114 Ames Rd.  
Red Bud, IL 62278

FSA Farm #3699

### Field #4

**Conservation Crop Rotation** – Corn Silage/ Soybeans -- is the planned rotation.

**Tillage Practice** – In preparation for the corn crop, the field will be worked with a field cultivator once. The corn will be planted in 30 inch rows, following silage removal the field will be planted to wheat to provide a cover crop for the winter. The surface residue prediction following silage removal is 33%. The wheat will be no-tilled in the silage field in 7 inch rows. The following spring the field will be planted to soybeans. The soybeans will be planted in 15 inch rows using a no-till drill. After the harvest of the soybeans the field will have manure applied to it using a slurry box spreader. Following manure application wheat will be planted to wheat again. The wheat will be used as a cover crop for the winter between the soybean and corn crop rotation. The field will then lay idle until the next spring. The surface residue prediction following the soybean harvest is 73%. In years of no manure application, anhydrous ammonia will be applied.

**Manure Applications** – will be planned for this field in accordance with the Waste Utilization Plan – applications will occur via slurry box spreader, and then incorporated into soil.

**Manure Application Limitations** – There is a residence within ¼ mile of this application field which under LMFA regulations requires soil incorporation within 24 hours of application. A waterway is located within this field and should not have manure applied in it. Also there is a drainage ditch near the field which requires a 150 foot setback in these areas. Additionally areas of this field contain slopes of more than 5% which may not have manure applied on them when the ground is snow covered or frozen.

### Soil Loss Calculation

Net C Factor RUSLE 2 – 0.098, 0.10

Soil Conditioning Index – 0.3

STIR Value – 28.77

Soil Type 515C3 Calculated T Loss – 3.1

Soil Type 582B Calculated T Loss – 2.2

Acceptable T Loss – 4.00

Acceptable T Loss – 5.00

Targeted crop nutrient needs will be achieved by means of manure applications in years designated in the Waste Utilization Plan and by means of commercial fertilizer in years of no manure applications. Refer to Nutrient Budget located behind each years Waste Application Tab.

## RUSLE2 Profile Erosion Calculation Record

Info: Field #4

**File:** Plan: Profile (Temp. scenario[1]) of Westridge Dairy\*  
**Access Group:** R2\_NRCS\_Fld\_Office

**Inputs:**

Location: Illinois\Monroe County  
 Soil: 515C3 Bunkum silty clay loam, 5 to 10 percent slopes, severely eroded\Bunkum silty clay loam 90%  
 Slope length (horiz): 100 ft  
 Avg. slope steepness: 7.0 %

Management	Vegetation	Yield units	Yield (# of units)
CMZ 16\c.Other Local Mgt Records\Westridge cs-sb w-cover	Corn, silage	tons	25.000
CMZ 16\c.Other Local Mgt Records\Westridge cs-sb w-cover	Wheat, winter cover	pounds	4000.0
CMZ 16\c.Other Local Mgt Records\Westridge cs-sb w-cover	Soybean, mw 15 - 20 in rows	bu	44.000
CMZ 16\c.Other Local Mgt Records\Westridge cs-sb w-cover	Wheat, winter cover	pounds	4000.0

Contouring: c. perfect contouring no row grade  
 Strips/barriers: (none)  
 Diversion/terrace, sediment basin: (none)  
 Subsurface drainage: (none)  
 Adjust res. burial level: Normal res. burial  
 General yield level: Set by user  
 Rock cover: 0 %

**Outputs:**

T value: 4.0 t/ac/yr  
 Soil loss erod. portion: 3.1 t/ac/yr  
 Detachment on slope: 3.1 t/ac/yr  
 Soil loss for cons. plan: 3.1 t/ac/yr  
 Sediment delivery: 3.1 t/ac/yr  
 Net C factor: 0.098  
 Net K factor: 0.36

Crit. slope length: 100 ft  
 Surf. cover after planting: --

Date	Operation	Vegetation	Surf. res. cov. after op, %
4/5/0	Cultivator, field w/ spike points		63
4/6/0	Planter, double disk opnr w/fluted coulter	Corn, silage	65
9/28/0	Harvest, silage		33
10/2/0	Drill or airseeder, double disk, w/ fluted coulters	Wheat, winter cover	35
5/15/1	Planter, double disk opnr w/fluted coulter	Soybean, mw 15 - 20 in rows	59
10/1/1	Harvest, killing crop 50pct standing stubble		85

0/2/1	Manure spreader, solid and semi-solid		89
0/3/1	Drill or airseeder, double disk opener, w/ fert openers	Wheat, winter cover	82

Soil conditioning index (SCI): 0.3

STIR value: 28.77

Wind & irrigation-induced erosion for SCI: 0 t/ac/yr

The SCI is the Soil Conditioning Index rating. If the calculated index is a negative value, soil organic matter levels are predicted to decline under that production system. If the index is a positive value, soil organic matter levels are predicted to increase under that system.

The STIR value is the Soil Tillage Intensity Rating. It utilizes the speed, depth, surface disturbance percent and tillage type parameters to calculate a tillage intensity rating for the system used in growing a crop or a rotation. STIR ratings tend to show the differences in the degree of soil disturbance between systems. The kind, severity and number of ground disturbing passes are evaluated for the entire cropping rotation as shown in the management description.



## RUSLE2 Profile Erosion Calculation Record

Info: Field #4

**File:** Plan: Profile (Temp. scenario[1]) of Westridge Dairy\*  
**Access Group:** R2\_NRCS\_Fld\_Office

**Inputs:**

Location: Illinois\Monroe County  
 Soil: 582B Homen silt loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes\Homen silt loam 90%  
 Slope length (horiz): 150 ft  
 Avg. slope steepness: 3.5 %

Management	Vegetation	Yield units	Yield (# of units)
CMZ 16\c.Other Local Mgt Records\Westridge cs-sb w-cover	Corn, silage	tons	25.000
CMZ 16\c.Other Local Mgt Records\Westridge cs-sb w-cover	Wheat, winter cover	pounds	4000.0
CMZ 16\c.Other Local Mgt Records\Westridge cs-sb w-cover	Soybean, mw 15 - 20 in rows	bu	44.000
CMZ 16\c.Other Local Mgt Records\Westridge cs-sb w-cover	Wheat, winter cover	pounds	4000.0

Contouring: c. perfect contouring no row grade  
 Strips/barriers: (none)  
 Diversion/terrace, sediment basin: (none)  
 Subsurface drainage: (none)  
 Adjust res. burial level: Normal res. burial  
 General yield level: Set by user  
 Rock cover: 0 %

**Outputs:**

T value: 5.0 t/ac/yr  
 Soil loss erod. portion: 2.2 t/ac/yr  
 Detachment on slope: 2.2 t/ac/yr  
 Soil loss for cons. plan: 2.2 t/ac/yr  
 Sediment delivery: 2.2 t/ac/yr  
 Net C factor: 0.10  
 Net K factor: 0.42

Crit. slope length: 150 ft  
 Surf. cover after planting: --

Date	Operation	Vegetation	Surf. res. cov. after op, %
4/5/0	Cultivator, field w/ spike points		63
4/6/0	Planter, double disk opnr w/fluted coulter	Corn, silage	65
9/28/0	Harvest, silage		33
10/2/0	Drill or airseeder, double disk, w/ fluted coulters	Wheat, winter cover	35
5/15/1	Planter, double disk opnr w/fluted coulter	Soybean, mw 15 - 20 in rows	59
10/1/1	Harvest, killing crop 50pct standing stubble		85

10/2/1	Manure spreader, solid and semi-solid		89
0/3/1	Drill or airseeder, double disk opener, w/ fert openers	Wheat, winter cover	82

Soil conditioning index (SCI): 0.3

STIR value: 28.77

Wind & irrigation-induced erosion for SCI: 0 t/ac/yr

The SCI is the Soil Conditioning Index rating. If the calculated index is a negative value, soil organic matter levels are predicted to decline under that production system. If the index is a positive value, soil organic matter levels are predicted to increase under that system.

The STIR value is the Soil Tillage Intensity Rating. It utilizes the speed, depth, surface disturbance percent and tillage type parameters to calculate a tillage intensity rating for the system used in growing a crop or a rotation. STIR ratings tend to show the differences in the degree of soil disturbance between systems. The kind, severity and number of ground disturbing passes are evaluated for the entire cropping rotation as shown in the management description.

# Application Field Detail Sheet

## Westridge Dairy

Field # 4  
Field Name Faust Road  
Land Owner Ralph & Janet Henry  
FSA Farm # 3699  
FSA Tract # 10218  
Tillable Acres 74.84  
Application Acres 74.84  
County Monroe  
Township Precinct 9  
Section 26

### Proximity Location

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Residence     | <input type="checkbox"/> Ponds                       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Non-Farm Business        | <input type="checkbox"/> Rivers                      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Common Place of Assembly | <input type="checkbox"/> Other Water Sources         |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Streams                  | <input type="checkbox"/> 10 yr Flood Plain           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Wells                    | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Waterways        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Lake                     | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Drainage Ditches |

### Provisions

- |  |                               |
|--|-------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A - Residence within 1/4 mile - incorporation required                               | <i>LMFA Section 900.803.o</i> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> B - Application site within 200' of surface water or 150' of a potable well                     | <i>LMFA Section 900.803.p</i> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> C - Located in a 10 year Flood Plain  | <i>LMFA Section 900.803.q</i> |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> D - Livestock waste may not be applied in waterways or drainage ditches in the field | <i>LMFA Section 900.803.r</i> |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E - Frozen or snow covered ground may not be applied to with a Slope Over 5%         | <i>LMFA Section 900.803.s</i> |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> F - No application during rainfall or to saturated soils                             | <i>LMFA Section 900.803.u</i> |

### Planting Intentions

Crop Year	Acres	Crop	Crop 2
2008	74.84	Soybeans	
2009	74.84	Corn Silage	
2010	74.84	Soybeans	
2011	74.84	Corn Silage	

# EFFINGHAM EQUITY



## Field Map

Prepared For: Westridge Dairy

Farm:

Field: 04

Crop Zone:


Crop Year:

Acres: 74.84

County: Monroe, IL

Twp Rng Sec: Precinct 9 26

Directions: Faust Road



Scale = 35:1 feet/foot


# EFFINGHAM EQUITY MUSYM



**Prepared For:** Westridge Dairy  
**Farm:**  
**Field:** 04  
**Crop Zone:**  
**Crop Year:**

**County:** Monroe, IL  
**Twp Rng Sec:** Precinct 9 26  
**Directions:** Faust Road

**Acres:** 74.84




Scale = 343 feet/inch

## Layer Summary

**Layer:** Soil Type  
**Attribute:** MUSYM  
**Acres:** 74.83  
**Average:**  
**Weighted Average:**  
**Minimum:**  
**Maximum:**

## MUSYM



MUSYM	Acres
515D3	21.24
515C3	28.34
582B	25.26



# EFFINGHAM EQUITY



## Soil Test Results

<b>Prepared For:</b> Westridge Dairy <b>Farm:</b> <b>Field:</b> 04 <b>Crop Zone:</b> <b>Crop Year:</b>	<b>County:</b> Monroe, IL <b>Twp Rng Sec:</b> <b>Directions:</b>
<b>Acres:</b> 74.84	

**Layer Name:** 2007 -- Fertility Sites

**Date Sampled:** November 19, 2007

SampleID	LabID none	pH none	P1 LbsPerAcre	K LbsPerAcre
1		6.8	206	589
2		5.8	142	423
3		6.3	223	531
4		5.9	101	388
5		5.8	80	428
6		6.1	117	465
7		6.5	78	436
8		6.8	83	348
9		5.5	70	328
10		5.5	75	493
11		5.5	61	391
12		6.6	59	373
13		6.3	155	549
14		6.3	81	483
15		6.3	139	413
16		6.6	272	789
17		8.1	32	236
18		6.3	51	403
19		5.6	93	411
20		5.5	84	343
21		5.2	78	331
22		6.0	84	495
23		5.7	29	375
24		6.2	81	446
25		5.5	67	344
26		6.4	84	472
27		6.1	89	340
28		6.4	59	269
29		5.0	90	340
30		6.1	59	330
31		6.0	104	354
32		6.1	111	369
<b>Average:</b>		6.1	98	415

### Solution Runoff Class Matrix

Hydrologic Soil Group			
A	B	C	D
Low	Medium	High	High

### P Input Matrix

Application Method	Application Rate		
	<= UI Recommendations	>UI - 150% UI	>150% UI
Incorporation or injection > 3" below surface	Low	Low	Low
Shallowly incorporated surface applications <3 inches	Low	Medium	High
Non-incorporated surface applications	Medium	High	High

The table below identifies specific risk factors that may be present in a given field. No attempt should be made to "average" the factors and assign a composite rating for the field. It is recognized that the risk factors do not act independently to influence phosphorus loss from agricultural fields and P loading into water resources. Simple averaging however, assumes that all risk factors have the same amount of influence. Attempts to objectively weigh some factors more or less than others would be desirable but difficult without supporting data. The phosphorus assessment procedure is not a process based or empirical model. The procedure was developed as a conservation planning tool. The tool is designed to provide guidance to select and plan conservation measures that will lower the potential for phosphorus loss from agricultural fields and P loading into water resources.

Phosphorus Risk Potential	
Risk Factor	Site Value
Soil Erosion	<T
Proximity to water	Medium
Solution Runoff Potential	High
Soil Test Phosphorus	High
Phosphorus Inputs	Low

#### References:

- \* Sharpely, A.N., Determining An Environmentally Sound Soil Phosphorus Value  
Journal of Soil and Water Conservation, 1996.
- \* Sharpely, A.N., T. Daniel, T. Sims, J. Lemunyon, R. Stevens, And R. Parry, 1999  
Agricultural Phosphorus and Eutrophication. U.S. Department of Agriculture,  
Agricultural Research Service, ARS-149, 42 pp.

Table 1. Nitrogen Risk Assessment

Nitrate loss potentials based on soil texture, timing, and nitrification inhibitors			
Application Timing <sup>1</sup>	Soil Texture <sup>2</sup>		
	Coarse	Medium	Fine
Fall with an inhibitor > 60° F	High	High	High
Fall with an inhibitor < 60° F	High	Medium	Medium
Fall without an inhibitor > 50° F	High	High	High
Fall without an inhibitor < 50° F	High	Medium	Medium
Spring without an inhibitor	Medium	Medium	Medium-Low
Spring with an inhibitor	Medium-Low	Low	Low
Spring split applied or sidedress	Medium-Low	Low	Low

## Foot Notes:

1. Temperatures refer to soil temperature measured at a depth of 4 inches. For this assessment, inhibitors refer to nitrification inhibitors.
2. Soil Texture: Coarse - sand, loamy sand, sandy loam  
Medium - silt, silt loam, loam  
Fine - silty clay loam, silty clay, clay, clay loam, sandy clay, loam, sandy clay

When developing recommendations to be included in a nutrient management plan, the planner needs to use the results of the assessment above with knowledge of locally significant transport processes.

For example, in large areas of northern and central Illinois, nitrates are detected in surface water resources at concentrations above 10 parts per million. Soils in much of the region only have a moderate nitrogen loss potential. The presence of extensive tile drainage, however, increases the risk of nitrate transport to surface water resources.

By contrast, in southern Illinois, there are large areas of level, poorly drained soil. The climate is warmer and there is more rainfall than in northern and central Illinois. The conditions favor the formation of nitrate. The loss of nitrate, however, is primary to the atmosphere due to denitrification.