

10/3/1	Drill or airseeder, double disk, w/ fluted coulters	Wheat, winter cover	88
--------	---	---------------------	----

Soil conditioning index (SCI): 0.6

STIR value: 7.479

Wind & irrigation-induced erosion for SCI: 0 t/ac/yr

The SCI is the Soil Conditioning Index rating. If the calculated index is a negative value, soil organic matter levels are predicted to decline under that production system. If the index is a positive value, soil organic matter levels are predicted to increase under that system.

The STIR value is the Soil Tillage Intensity Rating. It utilizes the speed, depth, surface disturbance percent and tillage type parameters to calculate a tillage intensity rating for the system used in growing a crop or a rotation. STIR ratings tend to show the differences in the degree of soil disturbance between systems. The kind, severity and number of ground disturbing passes are evaluated for the entire cropping rotation as shown in the management description.

Application Field Detail Sheet

Westridge Dairy

Field # 11
Field Name Rogers 44
Land Owner Ralph & Janet Henry
FSA Farm # 3699
FSA Tract # 2261
Tillable Acres 35.43
Application Acres 35.43
County Randolph
Township Ruma
Section 4

Proximity Location

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Residence | <input type="checkbox"/> Ponds |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Non-Farm Business | <input type="checkbox"/> Rivers |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Common Place of Assembly | <input type="checkbox"/> Other Water Sources |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Streams | <input type="checkbox"/> 10 yr Flood Plain |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Wells | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Waterways |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Lake | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Drainage Ditches |

Provisions

- | | |
|--|-------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A - Residence within 1/4 mile - incorporation required | <i>LMFA Section 900.803.o</i> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> B - Application site within 200' of surface water or 150' of a potable well | <i>LMFA Section 900.803.p</i> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> C - Located in a 10 year Flood Plain | <i>LMFA Section 900.803.q</i> |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> D - Livestock waste may not be applied in waterways or drainage ditches in the field | <i>LMFA Section 900.803.r</i> |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E - Frozen or snow covered ground may not be applied to with a Slope Over 5% | <i>LMFA Section 900.803.s</i> |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> F - No application during rainfall or to saturated soils | <i>LMFA Section 900.803.u</i> |

Planting Intentions

Crop Year	Acres	Crop	Crop 2
2008	35.43	Corn Grain	
2009	35.43	Soybeans	
2010	35.43	Corn Grain	
2011	35.43	Soybeans	

EFFINGHAM EQUITY



Field Map

Prepared For: Westridge Dairy

Farm:

Field: 11

Crop Zone:


Crop Year:

Acres: 35.43

County: Randolph, IL

Twp Rng Sec: Ruma 4

Directions: Rogers 44



EFFINGHAM EQUITY

MUSYM



Prepared For: Westridge Dairy

Farm:

Field: 11

Crop Zone:


Crop Year:

Acres: 35.43

County: Randolph, IL

Twp Rng Sec: Ruma 4

Directions: Rogers 44



Scale = 281 feet/inch

Layer Summary

Layer: Soil Type

Attribute: MUSYM

Acres: 35.43

Average:

Weighted Average:

Minimum:

Maximum:

MUSYM

517B	517B
515C2	515C2
860D3	860D3
3333A	3333A
515C3	515C3

Acres

517B	11.90
515C2	6.51
860D3	8.96
3333A	.58
515C3	7.48

Solution Runoff Class Matrix

Hydrologic Soil Group			
A	B	C	D
Low	Medium	High	High

P Input Matrix

Application Method	Application Rate		
	<= UI Recommendations	>UI - 150% UI	>150% UI
Incorporation or injection > 3" below surface	Low	Low	Low
Shallowly incorporated surface applications <3 inches	Low	Medium	High
Non-incorporated surface applications	Medium	High	High

The table below identifies specific risk factors that may be present in a given field. No attempt should be made to "average" the factors and assign a composite rating for the field. It is recognized that the risk factors do not act independently to influence phosphorus loss from agricultural fields and P loading into water resources. Simple averaging however, assumes that all risk factors have the same amount of influence. Attempts to objectively weigh some factors more or less than others would be desirable but difficult without supporting data. The phosphorus assessment procedure is not a process based or empirical model. The procedure was developed as a conservation planning tool. The tool is designed to provide guidance to select and plan conservation measures that will lower the potential for phosphorus loss from agricultural fields and P loading into water resources.

Phosphorus Risk Potential	
Risk Factor	Site Value
Soil Erosion	<T
Proximity to water	Medium
Solution Runoff Potential	High
Soil Test Phosphorus	NA
Phosphorus Inputs	Low

References:

- * Sharpely, A.N., Determining An Environmentally Sound Soil Phosphorus Value
Journal of Soil and Water Conservation, 1996.
- * Sharpely, A.N., T. Daniel, T. Sims, J. Lemunyon, R. Stevens, And R. Parry, 1999
Agricultural Phosphorus and Eutrophication. U.S. Department of Agriculture,
Agricultural Research Service, ARS-149, 42 pp.

Table 1. Nitrogen Risk Assessment

Nitrate loss potentials based on soil texture, timing, and nitrification inhibitors			
Application Timing ¹	Soil Texture ²		
	Coarse	Medium	Fine
Fall with an inhibitor > 60° F	High	High	High
Fall with an inhibitor < 60° F	High	Medium	Medium
Fall without an inhibitor > 50° F	High	High	High
Fall without an inhibitor < 50° F	High	Medium	Medium
Spring without an inhibitor	Medium	Medium	Medium-Low
Spring with an inhibitor	Medium-Low	Low	Low
Spring split applied or sidedress	Medium-Low	Low	Low

Foot Notes:

1. Temperatures refer to soil temperature measured at a depth of 4 inches. For this assessment, inhibitors refer to nitrification inhibitors.
2. Soil Texture: Coarse - sand, loamy sand, sandy loam
Medium - silt, silt loam, loam
Fine - silty clay loam, silty clay, clay, clay loam, sandy clay, loam, sandy clay

When developing recommendations to be included in a nutrient management plan, the planner needs to use the results of the assessment above with knowledge of locally significant transport processes.

For example, in large areas of northern and central Illinois, nitrates are detected in surface water resources at concentrations above 10 parts per million. Soils in much of the region only have a moderate nitrogen loss potential. The presence of extensive tile drainage, however, increases the risk of nitrate transport to surface water resources.

By contrast, in southern Illinois, there are large areas of level, poorly drained soil. The climate is warmer and there is more rainfall than in northern and central Illinois. The conditions favor the formation of nitrate. The loss of nitrate, however, is primary to the atmosphere due to denitrification.