

## Conservation Plan

Westridge Dairy  
2114 Ames Rd.  
Red Bud, IL 62278

FSA Farm #3700
----------------

### Field #12

**Conservation Crop Rotation** – Corn Silage/ Corn Grain -- is the planned rotation.

**Tillage Practice** – In preparation for the corn crop, manure will be applied, then the field will be worked twice with a field cultivator. The corn will be planted in 30 inch rows, following corn silage removal the field will lay idle until the following spring. The surface residue prediction following silage removal is 30%. In preparation for the next years corn crop, then the field will be worked twice with a field cultivator. The corn will be planted in 30 inch rows, following corn harvest the field will lay idle until the following spring. The surface residue prediction following harvest is 66%. The field will then lay idle until the next spring. In years of no manure application, anhydrous ammonia will be applied.

**Manure Applications** – will be planned for this field in accordance with the Waste Utilization Plan – applications will occur via drag line system that directly injects the manure into the soil at application.

**Manure Application Limitations** – A waterway is located within this field and should not have manure applied in it. Also there is a drainage ditch near the field which requires a 150 foot setback in these areas. There is a stream located near this field which requires a 150 foot setback in these areas. Additionally this field sits within a 10 year flood plain so that livestock waste may not be applied unless the injection or incorporation method of application is used.

### Soil Loss Calculation

Net C Factor RUSLE 2 – 0.17, 0.18

Soil Conditioning Index – 0.2

STIR Value – 66.16

Soil Type 8787A Calculated T Loss – 1.8

Acceptable T Loss – 5.00

Soil Type 3334A Calculated T Loss – 1.7

Acceptable T Loss – 5.00

Targeted crop nutrient needs will be achieved by means of manure applications in years designated in the Waste Utilization Plan and by means of commercial fertilizer in years of no manure applications. Refer to Nutrient Budget located behind each years Waste Application Tab.

## RUSLE2 Profile Erosion Calculation Record

Info: Field #12

**File:** Plan: Profile (Temp. scenario[1]) of Westridge Dairy\*

**Access Group:** R2\_NRCS\_Fld\_Office

### Inputs:

Location: Illinois\Monroe County

Soil: 8787A Banlic silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded\Banlic silt loam 85%

Slope length (horiz): 150 ft

Avg. slope steepness: 1.0 %

Management	Vegetation	Yield units	Yield (# of units)
CMZ 16\c.Other Local Mgt Records\Westridge cs-cg	Corn, silage	tons	25.000
CMZ 16\c.Other Local Mgt Records\Westridge cs-cg	Corn, grain	bushels	124.00

Contouring: default

Strips/barriers: (none)

Diversion/terrace, sediment basin: (none)

Subsurface drainage: (none)

Adjust res. burial level: Normal res. burial

General yield level: Set by user

Rock cover: 0 %

### Outputs:

T value: 5.0 t/ac/yr

Soil loss erod. portion: 1.8 t/ac/yr

Detachment on slope: 1.8 t/ac/yr

Soil loss for cons. plan: 1.8 t/ac/yr

Sediment delivery: 1.8 t/ac/yr

Net C factor: 0.17

Net K factor: 0.34

Crit. slope length: --

Surf. cover after planting: --

Date	Operation	Vegetation	Surf. res. cov. after op, %
4/4/0	Manure injector, liquid low disturb.30 inch		74
4/5/0	Cultivator, field w/ spike points		52
4/5/0	Cultivator, field w/ spike points		52
4/6/0	Planter, double disk opnr w/fluted coulter	Corn, silage	51
9/28/0	Harvest, silage		30
4/14/1	Cultivator, field w/ spike points		18
4/15/1	Cultivator, field w/ spike points		14
4/16/1	Planter, double disk opnr w/fluted coulter	Corn, grain	14
10/1/1	Harvest, killing crop 60pct standing stubble		66

Soil conditioning index (SCI): 0.2

STIR value: 66.16

Wind & irrigation-induced erosion for SCI: 0 t/ac/yr

The SCI is the Soil Conditioning Index rating. If the calculated index is a negative value, soil organic matter levels are predicted to decline under that production system. If the index is a positive value, soil organic matter levels are predicted to increase under that system.

The STIR value is the Soil Tillage Intensity Rating. It utilizes the speed, depth, surface disturbance percent and tillage type parameters to calculate a tillage intensity rating for the system used in growing a crop or a rotation. STIR ratings tend to show the differences in the degree of soil disturbance between systems. The kind, severity and number of ground disturbing passes are evaluated for the entire cropping rotation as shown in the management description.

## RUSLE2 Profile Erosion Calculation Record

Info: Field #12

**File:** Plan: Profile (Temp. scenario[1]) of Westridge Dairy\*

**Access Group:** R2\_NRCS\_Fld\_Office

### Inputs:

Location: Illinois\Monroe County

Soil: 3334A Birds silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, frequently flooded\Birds silt loam 85%

Slope length (horiz): 150 ft

Avg. slope steepness: 1.0 %

Management	Vegetation	Yield units	Yield (# of units)
CMZ 16\c.Other Local Mgt Records\Westridge cs-cg	Corn, silage	tons	25.000
CMZ 16\c.Other Local Mgt Records\Westridge cs-cg	Corn, grain	bushels	124.00

Contouring: default

Strips/barriers: (none)

Diversion/terrace, sediment basin: (none)

Subsurface drainage: (none)

Adjust res. burial level: Normal res. burial

General yield level: Set by user

Rock cover: 0 %

### Outputs:

T value: 5.0 t/ac/yr

Soil loss erod. portion: 1.7 t/ac/yr

Detachment on slope: 1.7 t/ac/yr

Soil loss for cons. plan: 1.7 t/ac/yr

Sediment delivery: 1.7 t/ac/yr

Net C factor: 0.18

Net K factor: 0.34

Crit. slope length: --

Surf. cover after planting: --

Date	Operation	Vegetation	Surf. res. cov. after op, %
4/4/0	Manure injector, liquid low disturb.30 inch		74
4/5/0	Cultivator, field w/ spike points		52
4/5/0	Cultivator, field w/ spike points		52
4/6/0	Planter, double disk opnr w/fluted coulter	Corn, silage	51
9/28/0	Harvest, silage		30
4/14/1	Cultivator, field w/ spike points		18
4/15/1	Cultivator, field w/ spike points		14
4/16/1	Planter, double disk opnr w/fluted coulter	Corn, grain	14
10/1/1	Harvest, killing crop 60pct standing stubble		66

Soil conditioning index (SCI): 0.2

STIR value: 66.16

Wind & irrigation-induced erosion for SCI: 0 t/ac/yr

The SCI is the Soil Conditioning Index rating. If the calculated index is a negative value, soil organic matter levels are predicted to decline under that production system. If the index is a positive value, soil organic matter levels are predicted to increase under that system.

The STIR value is the Soil Tillage Intensity Rating. It utilizes the speed, depth, surface disturbance percent and tillage type parameters to calculate a tillage intensity rating for the system used in growing a crop or a rotation. STIR ratings tend to show the differences in the degree of soil disturbance between systems. The kind, severity and number of ground disturbing passes are evaluated for the entire cropping rotation as shown in the management description.

# Application Field Detail Sheet

## Westridge Dairy

Field # 12  
Field Name Ruez Bottom  
Land Owner Ralph & Janet Henry  
FSA Farm # 3700  
FSA Tract #  
Tillable Acres 57.32  
Application Acres 52.64  
County Monroe, Randolph  
Township Precinct 9, Red Bud  
Section 25, 30

### Proximity Location

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Residence                | <input type="checkbox"/> Ponds                        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Non-Farm Business        | <input type="checkbox"/> Rivers                       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Common Place of Assembly | <input type="checkbox"/> Other Water Sources          |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Streams       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 10 yr Flood Plain |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Wells                    | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Waterways         |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Lake                     | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Drainage Ditches  |

### Provisions

- |  |                        |
|--|------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> A - Residence within 1/4 mile - incorporation required  | LMFA Section 900.803.o |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> B - Application site within 200' of surface water or 150' of a potable well          | LMFA Section 900.803.p |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> C - Located in a 10 year Flood Plain   | LMFA Section 900.803.q |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> D - Livestock waste may not be applied in waterways or drainage ditches in the field | LMFA Section 900.803.r |
| <input type="checkbox"/> E - Frozen or snow covered ground may not be applied to with a Slope Over 5%                    | LMFA Section 900.803.s |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> F - No application during rainfall or to saturated soils                             | LMFA Section 900.803.u |

### Planting Intentions

Crop Year	Acres	Crop	Crop 2
2008	57.32	Corn Silage	
2009	57.32	Corn Grain	
2010	57.32	Corn Silage	
2011	57.32	Corn Grain	

# EFFINGHAM EQUITY

## Application Restrictions



**Prepared For:** Westridge Dairy

**Farm:**

**Field:** 12

**Crop Zone:**


**Crop Year:**

**Acres:** 57.32

**County:** Monroe, IL

**Twp Rng Sec:** Precinct 9, Red Bud 25, 30

**Directions:** Ruez Bottom



### Layer Summary

**Layer:** Setbacks

**Attribute:** Application Restrictions

**Acres:** 57.32

**Average:**

**Weighted Average:**

**Minimum:**

**Maximum:**

### Application Restrictions

-  Setback
-  Application

**Acres**

4.68

52.64

# EFFINGHAM EQUITY

## MUSYM



**Prepared For:** Westridge Dairy

**Farm:**

**Field:** 12

**Crop Zone:**


**Crop Year:**

**Acres:** 57.32

**County:** Monroe, IL

**Twp Rng Sec:** Precinct 9, Red Bud 25, 30

**Directions:** Ruez Bottom



Scale = 301 feet/inch

### Layer Summary

**Layer:** Soil Type  
**Attribute:** MUSYM

**Acres:** 57.32





**Average:**

**Weighted Average:**

**Minimum:**

**Maximum:**

### MUSYM

	3333A
	3334L
	8787A
	3334A

**Acres**

10.11

22.03

22.51

2.68



# EFFINGHAM EQUITY

## Soil Test Results



<b>Prepared For:</b> Westridge Dairy <b>Farm:</b> <b>Field:</b> 12 <b>Crop Zone:</b> <b>Crop Year:</b>	<b>County:</b> Monroe, IL <b>Twp Rng Sec:</b> <b>Directions:</b>
<b>Acres:</b> 57.32	

**Layer Name:** 2007 -- Fertility Sites

**Date Sampled:** November 19, 2007

SampleID	LabID	pH	P1	K
	none	none	LbsPerAcre	LbsPerAcre
1		7.5	300	513
2		7.6	274	418
3		6.9	142	383
4		7.4	155	324
5		7.5	190	356
6		7.4	162	347
7		7.2	223	438
8		7.5	230	333
9		7.5	230	415
10		7.4	160	324
11		7.7	175	313
12		7.6	206	373
13		7.7	351	449
14		7.3	272	397
15		7.6	234	387
16		7.4	276	463
17		7.3	196	397
18		7.5	302	489
19		7.3	319	450
20		7.6	211	359
21		7.2	153	351
22		6.7	116	433
23		5.9	82	365
<b>Average:</b>		7.3	216	395

### Solution Runoff Class Matrix

Hydrologic Soil Group			
A	B	C	D
Low	Medium	High	High

### P Input Matrix

Application Method	Application Rate		
	<= UI Recommendations	>UI - 150% UI	>150% UI
Incorporation or injection > 3" below surface	Low	Low	Low
Shallowly incorporated surface applications <3 inches	Low	Medium	High
Non-incorporated surface applications	Medium	High	High

The table below identifies specific risk factors that may be present in a given field. No attempt should be made to "average" the factors and assign a composite rating for the field. It is recognized that the risk factors do not act independently to influence phosphorus loss from agricultural fields and P loading into water resources. Simple averaging however, assumes that all risk factors have the same amount of influence. Attempts to objectively weigh some factors more or less than others would be desirable but difficult without supporting data. The phosphorus assessment procedure is not a process based or empirical model. The procedure was developed as a conservation planning tool. The tool is designed to provide guidance to select and plan conservation measures that will lower the potential for phosphorus loss from agricultural fields and P loading into water resources.

Phosphorus Risk Potential	
Risk Factor	Site Value
Soil Erosion	<T
Proximity to water	High
Solution Runoff Potential	High
Soil Test Phosphorus	High
Phosphorus Inputs	Low

#### References:

- \* Sharpely, A.N., Determining An Environmentally Sound Soil Phosphorus Value  
Journal of Soil and Water Conservation, 1996.
- \* Sharpely, A.N., T. Daniel, T. Sims, J. Lemunyon, R. Stevens, And R. Parry, 1999  
Agricultural Phosphorus and Eutrophication. U.S. Department of Agriculture,  
Agricultural Research Service, ARS-149, 42 pp.

Table 1. Nitrogen Risk Assessment

Nitrate loss potentials based on soil texture, timing, and nitrification inhibitors			
Application Timing <sup>1</sup>	Soil Texture <sup>2</sup>		
	Coarse	Medium	Fine
Fall with an inhibitor > 60° F	High	High	High
Fall with an inhibitor < 60° F	High	Medium	Medium
Fall without an inhibitor > 50° F	High	High	High
Fall without an inhibitor < 50° F	High	Medium	Medium
Spring without an inhibitor	Medium	Medium	Medium-Low
Spring with an inhibitor	Medium-Low	Low	Low
Spring split applied or sidedress	Medium-Low	Low	Low

## Foot Notes:

1. Temperatures refer to soil temperature measured at a depth of 4 inches. For this assessment, inhibitors refer to nitrification inhibitors.
2. Soil Texture: Coarse - sand, loamy sand, sandy loam  
Medium - silt, silt loam, loam  
Fine - silty clay loam, silty clay, clay, clay loam, sandy clay, loam, sandy clay

When developing recommendations to be included in a nutrient management plan, the planner needs to use the results of the assessment above with knowledge of locally significant transport processes.

For example, in large areas of northern and central Illinois, nitrates are detected in surface water resources at concentrations above 10 parts per million. Soils in much of the region only have a moderate nitrogen loss potential. The presence of extensive tile drainage, however, increases the risk of nitrate transport to surface water resources.

By contrast, in southern Illinois, there are large areas of level, poorly drained soil. The climate is warmer and there is more rainfall than in northern and central Illinois. The conditions favor the formation of nitrate. The loss of nitrate, however, is primary to the atmosphere due to denitrification.